



Minutes of MAYOR AND COUNCIL Meeting

Approved by Mayor and Council
on November 14, 2012.

Date of Meeting: March 27, 2012

The Mayor and Council of the City of Tucson met in regular session in the Mayor and Council Chambers in City Hall, 255 West Alameda Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 5:39 p.m., on Tuesday, March 27, 2012, all members having been notified of the time and place thereof.

1. ROLL CALL

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Rothschild and upon roll call, those present and absent were:

Present:

Regina Romero
Paul Cunningham
Karin Uhlich
Shirley C. Scott
Richard G. Fimbres
Steve Kozachik
Jonathan Rothschild

Council Member Ward 1
Council Member Ward 2
Vice Mayor, Council Member Ward 3
Council Member Ward 4
Council Member Ward 5
Council Member Ward 6
Mayor

Absent/Excused:

None

Staff Members Present:

Richard Miranda
Michael Rankin
Roger W. Randolph

City Manager
City Attorney
City Clerk

2. INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The invocation was given by Reverend Dr. Wally Ryan Kuroiwa, Church of the Painted Hills, after which the Pledge of Allegiance was presented by the entire assembly.

Presentations:

- a. Mayor Rothschild proclaimed March 31, 2012, as “NAMI Walks Mental Health Day.” Steve Louie accepted the proclamation.

3. MAYOR AND COUNCIL REPORT: SUMMARY OF CURRENT EVENTS

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager’s communication number 102, dated March 27, 2012, was received into and made part of the record. He also announced this was the time scheduled to allow members of the Mayor and Council to report on current events and asked if there were any reports.

Current event reports were provided by Council Members Romero, Cunningham, Scott, Fimbres, and Vice Mayor Uhlich. A recording of this item is available from the City Clerk’s Office for ten years from the date of this meeting.

4. CITY MANAGER’S REPORT: SUMMARY OF CURRENT EVENTS

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager’s communication number 103, dated March 27, 2012, was received into and made part of the record. He also announced this was the time scheduled to allow the City Manager to report on current events, and asked for that report.

No report was given.

5. LIQUOR LICENSE APPLICATIONS

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager’s communication number 107, dated March 27, 2012, was received into and made part of the record. He asked the City Clerk to read the Liquor License Agenda.

- b. Liquor License Application(s)

New License(s)

1. Great Wall of China, Ward 4
2445 S. Craycroft Rd.
Applicant: Sally Xiao Le
Series 12, City 7-12
Action must be taken by: March 22, 2012

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

2. Metro Wildcat, Ward 6
501 N. Park Ave.
Applicant: Pradeep K. Karna
Series 10, City 8-12
Action must be taken by: March 29, 2012

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

3. Three and a Half Brothers Cafe, Ward 3
2530 N. 1st Ave.
Applicant: Kevin Arnold Kramber
Series 12, City 10-12
Action must be taken by: April 1, 2012

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

NOTE: State law provides that for a new license application, "In all proceedings before the governing body of a city...the applicant bears the burden of showing that the public convenience requires and that the best interest of the community will be substantially served by the issuance of a license". (A.R.S. Section 4-201)

Person Transfer

4. Woody's, Ward 3
3710 N. Oracle Rd.
Applicant: Michael Conrad Kramkowski
Series 6, City 11-12
Action must be taken by: April 1, 2012

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

Public Opinion: Written Argument Opposed Filed

This item was considered separately.

5. Tekilas Night Club, Ward 5
5358 S. Nogales Hwy.
Applicant: Brenda Villareal
Series 6, City 13-12
Action must be taken by: April 7, 2012

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

NOTE: State law provides that for a person to person transfer, Mayor and Council may consider the applicant's capability, qualifications and reliability. (A.R.S. Section 4-203)

Person/Location Transfer(s)

6. Hampton Inn Tucson Airport, Ward 5
6971 S. Tucson Blvd.
Applicant: Jill Leola Ward
Series 7, City 9-12
Action must be taken by: March 26, 2012

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

7. Total Wine & More #1009, Ward 6
5870 E. Broadway Blvd. #448
Applicant: Nicholas Carl Guttilla
Series 9S, City 12-12
Action must be taken by: April 1, 2012

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

Public Opinion: Written Argument in Favor Filed

8. Wal-Mart Supercenter #5799, Ward 4
2711 S. Houghton Rd.
Applicant: Clare Hollie Abel
Series 9, City 14-12
Action must be taken by: April 5, 2012

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

NOTE: State law provides that for a person and location transfer, Mayor and Council may consider both the applicant's capability, qualifications, reliability and location issues. (A.R.S. Section 4-203; R19-1-102)

c. Special Event(s)

1. Satori, Inc., Ward 3
3727 N. 1st Ave.
Applicant: Phyllis L. Gold
City T18-12
Date of Event: May 12, 2012
(Fundraiser)

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

2. Saint Joseph Parish Fiesta, Ward 6
215 S. Craycroft Rd.
Applicant: Mathias Peter Wirtz
City T20-12
Date of Event: April 27, 2012 - April 28, 2012
(Fundraiser)

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

Public Opinion: Written Argument in Favor Filed

3. Fiesta Grande of Hollywood, Inc., Ward 1
Grande Ave. between Speedway Blvd. & St. Mary's Rd.
Applicant: Margaret McKenna
City T22-12
Date of Event: April 14, 2012 - April 15, 2012
(Neighborhood Street Fair)

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

4. Tucson Museum of Art, Ward 1
140 N. Main Ave.
Applicant: Meagan Elizabeth Crain
City T23-12
Date of Event: March 30, 2012
(Fundraiser)

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

5. Tucson Screammers, Inc., Ward 3
1102 W. Grant Rd.
Applicant: Bobby G. Sutton Jr.
City T25-12
Date of Event: April 14, 2012
(Fundraiser)

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

6. St. Demetrios Greek Orthodox Church, Ward 3
1145 E. Fort Lowell Rd.
Applicant: Earl James Cantos Jr.
City T27-12
Date of Event: April 15, 2012
(Easter Picnic)

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

d. Agent Change/Acquisition of Control

1. RJ's Replays, Ward 6
5769 E. Speedway Blvd.
Applicant: Thomas Robert Aguilera
Series 6, City AC2-12
Action must be taken by: April 1, 2012

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

2. Lucky M Market, Ward 5
1353 S. 4th Ave.
Applicant: Somaly Sip
Series 10, City AC3-12
Action must be taken by: April 6, 2012

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

NOTE: The local governing body of the city, town or county may protest the acquisition of control within sixty days based on the capability, reliability and qualification of the person acquiring control. (A.R.S. Section 4-203.F)

Roger W. Randolph, City Clerk, announced that subsequent to the delivery of the agenda materials, the site plan for Item 5c3, Fiesta Grande of Hollywood, Inc., was amended by the applicant. He stated that the applicant was still in compliance with City requirements.

It was moved by Council Member Kozachik, duly seconded, and carried by a voice vote of 7 to 0, to forward liquor license applications 5b1 through 5b3, 5b5 through 5b8, 5c1 through 5c6, and 5d1 through 5d2 to the Arizona State Liquor Board with a recommendation for approval.

5. LIQUOR LICENSE APPLICATIONS

b. Liquor License Application(s)

Person Transfer

4. Woody's, Ward 3
3710 N. Oracle Rd.
Applicant: Michael Conrad Kramkowski
Series 6, City 11-12
Action must be taken by: April 1, 2012

Staff has indicated the applicant is in compliance with city requirements.

Public Opinion: Written Argument Opposed Filed

Roger W. Randolph, City Clerk, announced the item to be considered separately was Item 5b4, Woody's, located in Ward 3.

Vice Mayor Uhlich expressed concern about the challenges and complaints that could occur when entertainment and bar establishments were in close proximity to residences. She noted that this happened recently at Monterrey Court, a new business development on Miracle Mile. She suggested that during the review of the Red Tag Ordinance, staff take a look at objective standards for measuring noise as it related to businesses and make any adjustments needed. She said she wanted to ensure that businesses were respectful neighbors, but there was also an understanding of the nature of their business.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Uhlich, duly seconded, and carried by a voice vote of 7 to 0, to forward liquor license application 5b4 to the Arizona State Liquor Board with a recommendation for approval.

A verbatim transcription of the above item is available from the City Clerk's Office.

6. CALL TO THE AUDIENCE

Mayor Rothschild announced this was the time any member of the public was allowed to address the Mayor and Council on any issue except for items scheduled for a public hearing. Speakers were limited to three-minute presentations.

Mayor Rothschild also announced that pursuant to the Arizona Open Meeting Law, individual Council Members may ask the City Manager to review the matter, ask that the matter be placed on a future agenda, or respond to criticism made by speakers. However, the Mayor and Council may not discuss or take legal action on matters raised during "call to the audience."

Comments were made by:

Linda Rugh	Kevin Boss	Leilue Sierra
Susan Caldwell	Timothy Shirley	Mikki Niemi
Keith Van Heyningen	Garland Beebe	Ken Johnson
Billy Lolos	James Lacy	Lorena Rosas
Maria Cadaxa	Barbie Urias	Bryan Smith
Irene Moreno		

A recording of this item is available from the City Clerk's Office for ten years from the date of this meeting.

7. CONSENT AGENDA – ITEMS A THROUGH C

Mayor Rothschild announced the reports and recommendations from the City Manager on the Consent Agenda were received into and made part of the record. He asked the City Clerk to read the Consent Agenda.

a. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. Report from City Manager MAR27-12-104 CITY WIDE
2. Mayor and Council Regular Meeting Minutes of September 13, 2011

b. FINAL PLAT (S11-056) WESTERN INSTITUTE OF LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT BLOCK 1

1. Report from City Manager MAR27-12-105 CITY WIDE
2. Staff recommends that the Mayor and Council approve the final plat as presented. The applicant is advised that building/occupancy permits are subject to the availability of water/sewer capacity at the time of actual application.

c. INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT: WITH THE MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE FOR REIMBURSEMENTS FROM THE STATE HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM

1. Report from City Manager MAR27-12-109 OUTSIDE CITY
2. Resolution No. 21870 relating to Intergovernmental Agreements; approving, and authorizing the execution of the Intergovernmental Agreement between the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office and the City of Tucson for reimbursements from the State Homeland Security Grant Program of the Arizona Department of Homeland Security; and declaring an emergency.

It was moved by Council Member Romero, duly seconded, and passed by a roll call vote of 7 to 0, that Consent Agenda Items a – c be passed and adopted and the proper action taken.

8. PUBLIC HEARING: RIO VERDE VILLAGE ANNEXATION DISTRICT

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager's communication number 110, dated March 27, 2012, was received into and made part of the record. He also announced this was the time and place legally advertised for a public hearing for the proposed Rio Verde Village Annexation District. He said staff wanted to make a brief presentation before beginning with the public hearing.

Byron Howard, City Manager's Office, said the project followed the guidelines for the annexation plan that was approved by the Mayor and Council. He gave a PowerPoint presentation of the proposed Rio Verde Village Annexation District detailing specific areas on the map and upcoming dates for the process. He demonstrated on the map the perimeter of the district and the property that was located in the district, but not included in the Planned Area Development (PAD). He reviewed the timelines for the annexation and requested that the Mayor and Council approve the Pre-Annexation Development Agreement (PADA), as submitted, and instruct staff to continue to receive additional signatures.

Mayor Rothschild announced the public hearing was scheduled to last for no more than one hour and speakers were limited to five minute presentations.

Jacob K. Struble spoke in support of the proposed Rio Verde Village Annexation District.

The following people spoke in opposition to the annexation: John Rourke, Mary Frances O'Connor, Jennifer Earl and Larry McDonough, retained speaker for Vliet Properties,

(NOTE: Council Member Romero departed at 7:04 p.m. and returned at 7:07 p.m.)

Keri Silvyn, representing the Cesar family and Broadway Realty and Trust, thanked staff for the work on the project and presented signatures and a petition in support of the annexation. She also offered clarification on the two sets of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&R's) and said they would not be an issue.

It was moved by Council Member Fimbres, duly seconded, and carried by a voice vote of 7 to 0, to close the public hearing.

Council Member Cunningham asked if there were provisions in the PADA that allowed for the completion of the bike path.

Christopher Avery, Principal Assistant City Attorney, said the PADA, allowed for a continuation of the bike path. He explained that the PADA was subordinate to the outcome of the PAD process, which would go through the Zoning Examiner's process. He said the PAD offered offsets against impact fee credits for a fifteen year period for the improvements so the owner could either pay the impact fees or develop the bike path. He said it was not being requested at that time that the bike path be built, but incentives were offered for it to be built in the future.

Mr. Avery said there was also a provision in the PADA to allow the current bike path to be constructed underneath the Craycroft Road bridge and loop onto the property owner's land connecting to the River Road and Craycroft Road intersection. This provision allowed for Transportation Department impact fee offset credits. He said the intent of the PAD was not to preclude the development of the bike path in the future.

Instead of demanding the bike path be built, incentives were being offered so it would be constructed in the future.

Council Member Cunningham asked if language in the PAD could be changed to ensure the bike path was more prominent.

Mr. Avery said the PAD process allowed for issues to be addressed during the Zoning Examiner's process.

Council Member Cunningham asked for staff to address the traffic issues raised on River Road.

Ernie Duarte, Planning and Development Services Department Director, said the PAD had been reviewed by staff and contained conditions that provided for a traffic impact analysis which identifies offsite improvements to be made to allow the development to move forward.

Council Member Cunningham asked about the current construction of the school and convenience store in the area. He asked if the same process could be done if this was in Pima County.

Andrew Quigley, Assistant City Manager, said Pima County had approved the school and convenience store as part of the development and that those parcels of land were included in the PADA and PAD which was being considered.

Council Member Cunningham asked about the processes involved with creek bank stabilization and erosion mitigation.

Mr. Duarte said the Tanque Verde Creek was the area of concern, which was an erosion hazard setback. He said the PAD contemplates an erosion hazard protection that allowed for a reduction of the erosion hazard set back to ensure that the buildings on the PAD were not subject to flooding or falling into the creek.

It was moved by Council Member Cunningham, duly seconded, to accept the City Manager's recommendations and proceed with the Rio Verde Village Annexation District.

Council Member Fimbres asked for the status of Calle Rosario and what the City's responsibility was concerning the infrastructure for the PAD.

Mr. Avery said it was his understanding that Calle Rosario was included in the PAD and was an alternate source of access for the school. He said that the PAD had provisions for transportation offset credits for improvements to medians on Craycroft Road, sidewalk improvements along Craycroft Road and River Road and the bike path along Craycroft. He said parks and recreation offset credits for the trail were also in the plan.

Council Member Fimbres asked for the definition of the term “expenses” on the revenue projection financial sheet.

Mr. Avery said it was assumed that the development would cause the City relatively minimal expenses with the exception of some additional street maintenance and repair. He said the majority of the expenses on the financial sheet related to those types of expenses.

Council Member Fimbres asked for confirmation that the City would continue to honor the plan begun by the County for the school and convenience store in that area.

Mr. Avery said the school and convenience store were permitted under Pima County processes and that the City accepts the permits with the annexation. He said the inspections and approvals relevant to those facilities were accepted by the City.

Council Member Fimbres asked for clarification of the traffic mitigation plans.

Mr. Avery said the PAD contained specific plans to build internal connections between Calle Rosario and Craycroft Road to allow right turns out of the new school site to be made and grant transportation fee offset credits to make it safer to exit the site and on to Craycroft Road.

Council Member Fimbres asked for an explanation of the differences between a PAD and a PADA.

Mr. Avery said that a PAD was a Planned Area Development, a zoning process similar to a comprehensive plan amendment that took place in Pima County. He stated that a PADA was a Pre-Annexation and Development Agreement, an agreement between the City and a party interested in annexing property and sets forth terms in which the annexation is accomplished.

Council Member Romero asked about Pima County’s traffic mitigation plan that was put forth by the developer for the school and the store to alleviate the neighbor’s concerns. She stated that her reason for asking was that Pima County had already approved the two projects and if they did not request traffic mitigation strategies, she did not see how annexing to the City made a difference in terms of the plans that were presented to Pima County.

Mr. Avery said he did not know the full extent of the traffic mitigation plan but said that for the school, Pima County required access from Calle Rosario to the school; however he said he did not know about the traffic impact requested for the convenience store.

Mr. Avery said there were two processes going on, one was the annexation process and the other was the PAD or future zoning process. He said neither one of the processes affected whether the store or the school were built. He added that what the

City was able to do for additional development on the site, if the property was annexed and the PAD was approved, each new development would have a traffic mitigation study that would need to be submitted that the City would need to review.

Council Member Romero asked for clarification on the language of the open space process and the City's request to the Mayor and Council's approval of language to the conservation land system.

Mr. Avery requested to answer the question during discussion on item nine. He assured the Mayor and Council that it had been resolved to the satisfaction of the parties involved, but wanted to read those changes into the record at that time.

Council Member Kozachik asked for a description of the constructability plan for the bank stabilization.

Gordon Thomas Haley, III, representing the families' interests in the development, said that as it related to bank protection issues, negotiations had been made with the Planning and Development Services Department staff to provided a solution that was more environmentally sound than others. He said that the finding was that erosion protection was the option he proposed to protect the bank. He said it was an underground wall that allowed for protection of some of the environmental vegetation on site.

Mayor Rothschild asked if bank protection would be provided and then be approved by the City's Planners.

Mr. Haley said that erosion planning would be provided which would protect the bank.

Council Member Kozachik asked who would pay for any increase in traffic infrastructures costs.

Mr. Avery said the PADA provided for transportation fee offset credits for the specific improvements already identified. Any other improvements that were required would be addressed in the PAD process or the developer would be asked to pay for the improvements.

The motion to accept the City Manager's recommendations and proceed with the Rio Verde Village Annexation District was carried by a voice vote of 7 to 0.

9. PRE-ANNEXATION AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT: WITH PROPERTY OWNERS WITHIN THE RIO VERDE VILLAGE ANNEXATION DISTRICT

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager's communication number 111, dated March 27, 2012, was received into and made part of the record. He asked the City Clerk to read Resolution 21871 by number and title only.

Resolution No. 21871 relating to the Pre-Annexation and Development Agreements; authorizing and approving the execution of a Pre-Annexation and Development Agreement between the City of Tucson and the owners of property within the Rio Verde Village Annexation District; and declaring an emergency.

Mayor Rothschild announced staff wanted to make a brief presentation.

Christopher Avery, Principal Assistant City Attorney, referenced a letter from the Coalition for Sonoran Desert Protection that requested revised language to the agreement. He said he met with representatives from the Coalition for Sonoran Desert Protection as well as the land owner. He read into the record the agreed upon language for Section 2.10, "Any dedication of open space will be at the sole voluntary discretion of the owner. All parcels that contain lands classified under Pima County's Conservation Land System shall comply with the open space and mitigation guidelines outlined in the Conservation Land System Guidelines."

Mr. Avery stated that the explanation for the change was that the agreement in the PADA was written to incorporate the City's contract with the property owners. He said, in the PADA, there was a proposal that would move through a zoning examiner process. In that process, it was clear that the property owner had taken care in trying to be consistent with Conservation Land System guidelines. He stated the PADA itself was largely outside the guidelines and that all were in agreement to maintain consistency with the guidelines which included the PAD process.

Mr. Avery said a critical part of the PADA allowed for the property owner to accept or decline annexation based on whether the owner was satisfied with the Zoning Examiner's recommendation at the conclusion of the PADA process. He said the items in the PADA were related and subordinate to the conditions of the PAD that would come back to the Mayor and Council in the near future. He said this PADA was similar to others that had been approved by the Mayor and Council, in particular the West Valencia Road PADA, and it was staff's hope this would become a model for future processes.

Comments regarding the process for the PADA were made by Council Members Romero, Cunningham and Kozachik.

It was moved by Council Member Cunningham, duly seconded, and passed by a roll call vote of 7 to 0, to pass and adopt Resolution 21871 including the provisions and revisions read into the record by the City Attorney's Office.

10. ZONING: (C9-09-06) TEMPERATURE CONTROL, INC. - WEST LESTER STREET, C-1 TO C-2 ZONE, REACTIVATION, TWO-YEAR TIME EXTENSION (CONTINUED FROM THE MEETING OF FEBRUARY 28, 2012)

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager's communication number 106, dated March 27, 2012, was received into and part part of the record. He also announced this was a request for a two-year time extension for the completion of the rezoning conditions

for property located on the south side of Lester Street and west of Tenth Avenue. He said the Zoning Examiner and staff recommended authorization of the rezoning subject to certain conditions.

Mayor Rothschild asked if the applicant or representative was present and if they were agreeable to the proposed requirements.

Vance McCloud, Property Owner, said he believed that the requirement for the sidewalk in front of the property would be deleted.

Vice Mayor Uhlich stated she worked with Mr. McCloud on details, specifically Condition 16, the installation of the sidewalk. She explained that it was pretty clear that there was a responsible business owner that was trying to hold on to activity and investment and continued success in Tucson. She said the sidewalk cost was burdensome to the business owner and sidewalks were not consistent throughout the area. She expressed her concern that forcing the business owner to do this would cause the loss of a business in Tucson.

Ernie Duarte, Planning and Development Services Department Director, said the sidewalk condition was included as a result of the Zoning Examiner's public hearing because there were existing sidewalks on the east and west side of the property. He said it was unfortunate but was the way the City received its sidewalk infrastructure installed one project at a time.

Vice Mayor Uhlich openly advocated that this burden not be placed on the owner in this case.

Mayor Rothschild asked if the applicant was agreeable to the proposed requirements with the amendment to not require the addition of the sidewalk.

Mr. McCloud's response was affirmative.

Mayor Rothschild asked staff if that was something that could be done.

Michael Rankin, City Attorney, stated the agenda allowed for the time extension as well as any change of conditions.

Council Member Kozachik stated he supported Vice Mayor Uhlich's comments to promote keeping business owners in business.

Roger Randolph, City Clerk, clarified that the vote would be to approve the request as presented with the removal of Condition 16.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Uhlich, duly seconded, and passed by a roll call vote of 7 to 0, to approve the requested reactivation and time extension as recommended by staff, with the removal of Condition 16.

11. APPOINTMENTS TO BOARDS, COMMITTEES AND COMMISSIONS

Mayor Rothschild announced City Manager's communication number 108, dated March 27, 2012, was received into and made part of the record. He asked for a motion to approve the appointments in the report.

It was moved by Council Member Cunningham, duly seconded, and carried by a voice vote of 7 to 0, to approve the appointments of Lissette H. DeMars to the Climate Change Committee (CCC) in the Local Economy/Alternate Member category, Steven Herzog and Lynn Kastella to the Fire Code Review Committee (FCRC) and Kelli Olson to the Tucson Commission on Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual and Transgender Issues (GLBT).

Mayor Rothschild asked if there were any personal appointments to be made.

Council Member Romero announced her personal appointment of Janet Marcotte to the Small, Minority and Women-owned Business Commission (SMWBC).

Vice Mayor Uhlich announced her personal appointment of Montserrat Caballero to the Citizen's Police Advisory Review Board (CPARB).

Council Member Scott announced her personal appointments of Matt Kopec to the 2012 Redistricting Advisory Commission (RAC) and Michael Marks to the Sign Code Advisory and Appeals Board (SCAAB).

12. ADJOURNMENT: 7:42 p.m.

Mayor Rothschild announced the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Mayor and Council would be held on Tuesday, April 3, 2012, at 5:30 p.m., in the Mayor and Council Chambers, City Hall, 255 West Alameda, Tucson, Arizona.

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHENTICITY

I, the undersigned, have read the foregoing transcript of the meeting of the Mayor and Council of the City of Tucson, Arizona, held on the 27th day of March, 2012, and do hereby certify that it is an accurate transcription.

DEPUTY CITY CLERK

RWR:tf:dp